

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 05725.0800-00 8605 09/725,048 11/29/2000 Roland Bazin EXAMINER 22852 7590 12/28/2005 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER WIEKER, AMANDA FLYNN PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 3743

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\epsilon$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/725,048	BAZIN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Amanda F. Wieker	3743	
The MAILING DA	ATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STAT WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be ave after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specif - Failure to reply within the set of	SER, FROM THE MAILING D. ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication idea above, the maximum statutory period vor extended period for reply will, by statute ce later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE to date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed I the mailing date of this comr ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>05 D</u>	ecember 200 <u>5</u> .		
2a) This action is FIN	NAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
•		nce except for formal matters, pro		nerits is
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-20,24</u>	-48,59,61 and 62 is/are pendi	ing in the application.		
4a) Of the above	claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)	s/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) i	s/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) i				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-20,24</u>	<u>-48,59,61,62</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirem	ient.	
Application Papers				
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) file	led on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se		
-	_	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob		
11) ☐ The oath or decla	aration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO	<i>-</i> 152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	<b>§ 119</b>			
a) All b) Som 1. Certified o 2. Certified o	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority documen copies of the priority documen	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and the state of the sta	tion No	tage
•	n from the International Burea			
* See the attached	detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
Attachment(s)			(DTO 440)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's P</li> </ol>	d (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D		
	itement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	I	Patent Application (PTO-1	⊤52)

Application/Control Number: 09/725,048 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. The following restriction requirement is deemed proper at this time, and corresponds to a similar restriction requirement in related case 09/725,049. The examiner also points out that an obviousness-type double patenting rejection with related case 09/725,049 will likely be made in the next Office Action, and invites Applicant to file a Terminal Disclaimer with this response, if Applicant chooses, to obviate such a rejection.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A as shown in Figures 2-5; and

Species B as shown in Figure 8.

Upon election of Species A or B, the following subspecies requirement exists because the application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subspecies of the claimed invention:

If Species A is elected:

Subspecies I as shown in Figure 6 (epidermal skin cells on transfer

member other than scanner); and

Subspecies II as shown in Figure 7 (lip imprint on transfer member other

than scanner)

If Species B is elected:

Subspecies (i) as shown in Figures 9-14 and 18-19 (epidermal skin on

extremity);

Subspecies (ii) as shown in Figures 15-16 (hair);

Subspecies (iii) as shown in Figure 17 (nail clipping); and Subspecies (iv) as shown in Figure 20 (teeth);

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/725,048 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda F. Wieker whose telephone number is 571-272-4794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 - 5:00 and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amanda F. Wieker

Examiner

Art Unit 3743

<u>Examina</u>